

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Cin

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,778	12/17/2001	Douglas D. Sjostrom	02-35-0385 / 00167-456001	4406	
75	90 06/03/2003				
JOEL R. PETROW			EXAMINER		
Smith & Nephe			NGUYEN, VI X		
Memphis, TN					
Wiempinis, 11	30110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3731	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	$\Diamond$	
				0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , ,	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ŀ
		10/015,778	SJOSTROM, DOUGLAS D.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Victor X Nguyen	3731	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		neet with the correspondence address	_
Period fo	• •			
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, howeve  ply within the statutory minim  d will apply and will expire SIX  ate, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	6 February 2003 .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-fina	l.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from considerati	on.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requireme	nt.	
Applicati	on Papers	·		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) 🗌 🗀	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held i	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	o) disapproved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action	L.	
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 L	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been receive	d.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been receive	d in Application No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	
14) <u></u> A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application	has been received.	
Attachment	(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:	
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	

Application/Control Number: 10/015,778

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 and 4-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Pintor et al (U.S. 6,482,217).

Regarding claim 1, figures 6 and 7, Pintor et al disclose a device having all the limitations as recited in the above listed claims, including: an outer member (21) has a cutting edge; and wherein a helical knife (46) couples to the outer member for rotation, wherein a portion of the helical knife (46) has an edge (23) configured to slice into tissue (col.10, lines 35-48) and extending distally the cutting edge of the outer member (21).

Regarding claims 2 and 4, wherein an inner member (34) receives within the outer member. The helical knife (46) is located at a distal end of the inner member; and wherein the inner member (34) defines an aspiration opening (labeled as lumen 20 in col. 17, lines 15-22).

Regarding claims 5-7, wherein the edge includes a helical edge and the helical knife (60) includes a helical channel (30 is considered a helical channel in fig. 6); wherein the helical channel has a pitch (46) that increases from the distal end to the proximal end (fig. 6, col. 9, lines 11-40); and wherein the helical channel terminates in an opening through a wall of the inner member.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/015,778

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding claims 8-11, wherein the device further includes a hub (fig. 9, item 84); wherein the outer member (21) defines a fluid (col. 17, lines 17-21). The cutting edge (23) is located at a distal end of the outer member; and wherein the outer member (21) tapers to the cutting edge.

Regarding claims 12-13, wherein the cutting edge includes a circumferential and a circular in shape (fig.7, col.10, lines 39-49).

Regarding claims 14-17, wherein the cutting edge is part circumferential, circular and oblong in shape (figs 6, 7 and col.10, lines 35-48); and wherein the outer member includes a shield portion (fig. 5a).

Regarding claims 18-19, wherein a method of cutting including to slice into tissue with a helical knife (46) and cutting the tissue with the cutting portion; wherein cutting the tissue includes into pieces (col. 8, lines 34-41).

Regarding claims 20-24, wherein the helical knife (46) is on an inner member (34) and the cutting portion is on an outer member (21); wherein transporting the cut tissue along a helical channel defines by the helical knife (46); wherein aspirating the cut tissue is through the inner member; and wherein aspirating fluid (col. 17, lines 17-21) is through an opening in the outer member (21).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,778

Art Unit: 3731

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Pintor et al (U.S.6,482,217).

Pintor et al are explained as before. Although, Pintor et al do not disclose the inner member and the outer member is in the range of about 0.0005 to 0.002 inches. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the inner member and the outer member is in the range of about 0.0005 to 0.002 inches, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re-Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/26/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/015,778

Art Unit: 3731

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

vn  $\psi$ .
June 1, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700